AD		

MIPR Number: 9GBWFL9A05

TITLE: Teleophthalmology for Diabetic Retinopathy Screening

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Thomas Ward, COL

Robert Bauer, MAJ

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Walter Reed Army Medical Center

Washington, DC 20307-5001

REPORT DATE: September 2001

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	DATES COVER	:n	
1. Addition out offer (Leave Blank)	September 2001	Final (1 May 9			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	33533333233	1	5. FUNDING N		
Teleophthalmology fo	or Diabetic Retinor	oathv	MIPR 9GBV		
Screening					
bereening					
6. AUTHOR(S)		•			
Thomas Ward, COL					
Robert Bauer, MAJ					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8 DEDECTORING	G ORGANIZATION	
7.1 EN ONNING ONGARIZATION NAM	ME(O) AND ADDITEOU(ES)		REPORT NUMBER		
Walter Reed Army Med	lical Center		1121 0111 110		
Washington, DC 2030					
washington, be 2030	7-5001				
			•		
E-Mail: 4bauer1@msn.com			_		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING		
			AGENCY R	EPORT NUMBER	
U.S. Army Medical Research and M					
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012	2				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
ļ					
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for Public Rele		imited		TEST STOTTINGS TION CODE	
	•				
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words					
Several studies have sug		ine techniques	mav be used	to screen patients	
for signs of diabetic re					
digital fundus cameras to collect retinal images at remote sites that can be transmitted					
and interpreted by an ophthalmologist. The present study has examined the validity of					
using digital fundus images to recognize the presence and extent of retinopathy in					
diabetic patients. Nonmydriatic, nonstereoscopic digital fundus images were reviewed for					
signs of diabetic retinopathy and results were compared with those of clinical examination					
of the same patients. Thirty patients (57 eyes) have been examined to date. Seven were					
found to have image quality too poor to evaluate. Poor image quality was attributable to					
dense cataracts, miotic pupils or total retinal detachment. Interpretation of fundus by					
digital image and clinic					
diabetic retinopathy (k=0.65 (95% CI 0.42-0.83)); macular edema (k=0.88 (95% CI 0.64-					

14. SUBJECT TERMS diabetic retinopathy,	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 10 16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unlimited

1.11)) and follow-up recommendations (k=0.61 (95% CI 0.31-0.90)). These preliminary results suggest that digital fundus images may accurately recognize diabetic retinopathy.

Table of Contents

Cover	1
SF 298	2
Table of Contents	3
Introduction	4
Body	4
Key Research Accomplishments	6
Reportable Outcomes	6
Conclusions	6
References	7
Appendices	8

Introduction

Several recent studies have suggested that evaluation of nonmydriatic fundus photographs provide a cost-effective alternative for screening large numbers of patients for diabetic retinopathy (Ref 1,10,11,12,14,16,17). We propose to expand upon this method by using digital photographic images of the fundus, which can be taken, at the outpatient clinic, transmitted over telephone lines and accessed at a remote computer terminal by an ophthalmologist. This would provide prompt assessment by a specialist with minimal cost. One objective of the proposed study is to determine if digital imaging of fundus photographs can serve as a consistently reliable method for detection of high-risk diabetic retinopathy, which requires immediate treatment or close surveillance. It is hoped that such a method for could serve as a cost-effective option for screening of retinopathy in large population of diabetic patients. This technique would also allow an ophthalmologist to examine the eyes of a patient who resides at a distant site promptly and without the need for travel. Such benefits would be extremely useful for the delivery of optimal and cost-effective medical care to soldiers and their families assigned at locations far from a military medical center.

Body

This protocol was delayed in its start time due to problems with procurement and operation of hardware used in acquisition and transmission of digital images. The vendor was able to establish an operational system for data collection in March 2002.

Thirty patients have been enrolled in this study to date: 20 male subjects (eleven African-American and nine Caucasian) and 10 female subjects (six Caucasian, three African-American and one Asian). The average age of the participants is 64 years. Three eyes were excluded from the study as a result of having had eye surgery during the past year. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy (determined by clinical exam) among the study group was 28% and the prevalence of macular edema was 8%. These prevalences are similar to those reported in several studies (Ref 2,15,18).

Single nonmydriatic, nonstereoscopic digital fundus images were considered gradable by the interpreter in fifty of the fifty-seven images that were reviewed. Poor image quality correlated with physical findings limiting view of the fundus in all seven cases; surgical grade cataracts in four cases, miotic pupils in two cases and a total retinal detachment in the other case.

The following tables display the level of agreement in comparing the results of clinical examination and digital image examination: kappa statistic used to assess nominal agreement not attributed to chance (Ref 3,13)

A. Level of Agreement for Diabetic Retinopathy: X-axis impression from clinical exam; Y-axis impression from digital image review

	NDR	MINDR	MoNDR	SNDR	VSNDR	PDR	HRPDR	TRD
NDR		27	ä ,)			1	
MINDR		8		2			1	
MoNDR		1					1	
SNDR			2	2		ing.		
VSNDR								
PDR					1		\$600 pinomino pinosonini pi	oments:
HRPDR								1

NDR= no diabetic retinopathy; MiNDR = mild nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy; MoDR=moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy; SNDR=severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy; VSNDR- very severe nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy; PDR=proliferative diabetic retinopathy; HRPDR=high-risk proliferative diabetic retinopathy and TRD= traction retinal detachment. Definitions of these categories or described in the appendix and in references 4-9.

Unweighted kappa =
$$0.65 \pm 0.1$$
 with 95% CI 0.42 to 0.83
Sensitivity = 0.74
Specificity = 0.71

B. Level of Agreement for Diabetic Macular Edema X-axis impression from clinical exam; Y-axis impression from digital image review

	No ME	DME	CSME
No ME	4	5	1
DME	es		2
CSME		agenting the state of the state	2

ME= No macular edema; DME= diabetic macular edema, not clinically significant; CSME= Clinically Significant Macular edema

Unweighted kappa=
$$0.88 \pm 0.12$$
 with 95% CI 0.64 to 1.11 Sensitivity = 1.0 Specifity = 0.98

C. Level of Agreement for Follow-up Recommendations
X-axis impression from clinical exam; Y-axis impression from digital image review

	12 mos	6 mos	4 mos	1 m	o FA/La	ser
12 mos	3	6	5	0	0	0
6 mos		1	1	0	0	0
4 mos		1	0	2	0	0
1 mo		0	0	0 :	0	0
FA/laser		0	0	0	0	4

Mos = months until next recommended follow-up exam FA/laser= fluorescein angiogram and /or macular laser treatment in less than one Month.

Unweighted kappa = 0.61 ± 1.1 with 95% CI 0.31 to 0.90 Sensitivity = 0.95 Specificity = 0.58

Key Research Accomplishments:

- Preliminary results show that review of a single nonmydriatic, nonstereoscopic digital fundus image can recognize diabetic retinopathy at a level consistent with that of clinical ophthalmoscopic examination
- Preliminary results show that review of a single nonmydriatic. nonstereoscopic digital
 fundus image can recognize diabetic macular edema at a level consistent with that of
 clinical ophthalmoscopic examination

Reportable Outcomes:

- Presentation of results at Society of Military Ophthalmology Biennial Conference, Bethesda, MD, March 2002
- Submission of abstract for The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Conference 2003

Conclusions:

The initial results of this study suggest that processing and interpreting of digital fundus images may be a valid method for screening diabetic retinopathy using telemedicine techniques. Greater enrollment of subjects is necessary to achieve the desired statistical power that would support these preliminary results.

These results are ascertained using a single fundus image for analysis. Similar results in level of agreement have been reported with a similar number of subjects using stereoscopic imaging, a proprietary imaging system and composite results from a collection of five images of different regions of each fundus that was examined (2). This report is the only study found in a Medline Search from 1966 to present (search words: diabetic retinopathy AND digital OR telemedicine) that attempted to ascertain the validity of using digital fundus images to assess diabetic retinopathy.

In the present study, digital fundus images are collected using a commercially available nonmydriatic camera and fundus imaging equipment that is currently used for fluorescein angiography in several military ophthalmology clinics. Such resources would make it practically feasible to implement these techniques for screening diabetic retinopathy in

the military ophthalmology setting should it be considered advantageous to use this information for that purpose.

The investigators in this study have no financial interest any of the equipment used in this study.

References:

- 1. Aiello LM, Bursell SE, Cavallerano J, Gardner WK and Strong J. Joslin Network Validation Study: pilot image stabilization phase. *J Am Optom Assoc* 69(11):699-710, 1998.
- 2. Bursell SE, Cavallerano JD, Cavallerano AA, Clermont AC, Birkmire-Peters D, Aiello LM. Stereo nonmydriatic digital-video color retinal imaging compared with Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study seven field 35-mm stereo color photos for determining level of diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmology* 108(3):572-585, 2001
- 3. Cohen J. Weighted kappa: nominal scale agreement with provision for scaled disagreement or partial credit. *Psych Bull* 70(4):213-220, 1968.
- 4. Davis MD, Fisher MR, Gangnon RE, Barton F, Aiello LM, Chew EY, Ferris FL and Knatterud GL. Risk factors for high-risk proliferative diabetic retinopathy and severe visual loss. ETDRS Report #18. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 39(2):233-252, 1998.
- 5. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study design and baseline patient characteristics. ETDRS Report Number 7. *Ophthalmology* 98(Suppl):741-752, 1991.
- 6. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs-an extension of the modified Airlie House classification. ETDRS Report Number 10. *Ophthalmology* 98(Suppl):786-806, 1991.
- 7. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Fundus photography risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy. ETDRS Report Number 12. *Ophthalmology* 98(Suppl):823-833, 1991.
- 8. Frank RN. On the pathogenesis of diabetic retinopathy, a 1990 update. *Ophthalmology* 98(5):586-593, 1991.
- 9. Feman SS, Leonard-Martin TC, Andrews JS, Armbruster CC, Burdge TL, Debelak JD, Lanier A and Fischer AG. A quantitative system to evaluate diabetic retinopathy from fundus photographs. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 36(1):174-181, 1995.

- 10. Gilchrist J. Analysis of early diabetic retinopathy by computer processing of fundus images-a preliminary study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 7(4):393-399, 1987.
- 11. Harper CA, Livingston PM, Wood C, Jin C, Lee SJ, Keeffe JE, McCarty CA and Taylor HR. Screening for diabetic retinopathy using a non-mydriatic retinal in rural Victoria. *Aust N Z J Ophthalmol* 26(2):117-121, 1998.
- 12. Hernaez-Ortega MC, Soto-Pedre E, Vazquez JA, Gutierrez MA and Asua J. Study of the efficiency of a non-mydriatic retinal camera in the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy. *Rev Clin Esp* 198(4):194-199, 1998.
- 13. Landis JR and Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 33:159-174, 1977.
- 14. Lee VS, Kingsley RM, Lee ET, Lu M, Russell D, Asal NR, Bradford RH and Wilkinson CP.

The diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy ophthalmoscopy versus fundus photography. *Ophthalmology* 100(10):1504-1512, 1993.

- 15. Liesenfeld B, Kohner E, Piehlmeier W, Kluthe S, Aldington S, Porta M, Bek T, Obermaier M, Mayer H, Mann G, Holle R and Hepp KD. A telemedical approach to the screening of diabetic retinopathy: digital fundus photography. *Diabetes Care* 23(3): 345-348, 2000.
- 16. Ryder RE, Kong N, Bates AS, Sim J, Welch J and Kritzinger EE. Instant electronic imaging systems are superior to Polaroid at detecting sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy. *Diabet Med* 15(3):254-258, 1998.
- 17. Taylor R, Lovelock L, Tunbridge WMG, Alberti KGMM, Brackenridge RG, Stephenson P and Young E. Comparison of non-mydriatic retinal photography with ophthalmoscopy in 2159 patients: mobile retinal camera study. *Br Ed J* 301:1243-1247, 1990.
- 18. Tennant MT, Greve MD, Rudinsky CJ, Hillson TR and Hinz BJ. Identification of diabetic retinopathy by stereoscopic digital imaging via teleophthalmology: a comparison to slide film. *Can J Ophthalmol* 36(4):187-196, 2001.

Appendix

Levels of Retinopathy (Modified from ETDRS)

1. Nonproliferative Diabetic Retinopathy (NPDR) a. Mild NPDR

- i) At least 1 hemorrhage/microaneurysm
- ii) Definition not met for b.c or d below
- iii) Further subclassification: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clinically significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema

b. Moderate NPDR

- i) H/Ma > standard photograph 2A (ref 6) OR
- ii) Cotton Wool Spots (CWS), venous beading (VB) or Intraretinal Microvascular Abnormalities (IRMA) definitely present but not at level seen in c or d below
- iii) Further subclassification: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clincally significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
- c. Severe NPDR
 - i) H/Ma > standard photograph 2A (ref 6) in all four quadrants of photograph OR
 - ii) VB in two or more quadrants of photograph OR
- iii) IRMA > standard photograph 8A (ref 6)
- iv) Further subclassification: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clinically significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
- d. Very Severe NPDR
 - i) Any two or more from c above
- ii) Further subclassification: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clinically significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
- 2. Proliferative Diabetic Retinopathy
 - a. Early PDR
 - i) Neovascularization of the Disc (NVD) or Elsewhere (NVE)
 - ii) Definition not met for b below
 - ii) Further subclassification:
 - 1) Macular Edema: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clinically significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
- 2) Traction Retinal Detachment (TRD): Not threatening fovea, Threatening fovea
 - a. High-Risk PDR
 - i) NVD > standard photograph 10A (Ref 6) OR
 - ii) Any NVD with vitreous hemorrhage OR
 - iii) NVE > 1/2disc area with vitreous hemorrhage OR
 - iv) Further subclassification:
 - Macular Edema: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clinically significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
 - 2) Traction Retinal Detachment (TRD): Not threatening fovea, Threatening fovea
 - a. Regressed PDR

- i) Fibrous Proliferation at Disk or Elsewhere
- ii) Further subclassification:
 - 1) Macular Edema: No Macular Edema, Diabetic Macular Edema-not clincally significant and Clinically Significant Macular Edema
 - 2) Traction Retinal Detachment (TRD): Not threatening fovea, Threatening fovea

Clinically Significant Macular Edema:

- a. Thickening of the retina <500 um from the center of the macula OR
- b. Hard exudates with thickening of the adjacent retina located < 500 um from the center of the macula OR
- c. A zone of retinal thickening, one disc diameter or larger in size and located < one disc area from the center of the macula